Accounting and Management Information Systems
Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 456-478, 2025
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24818/jamis.2025.03003

Implementing AI in auditing in organizations

Kishore Singh[1a], Mario Bojilov[a] and Peter Best[a]

[a]*CQ University, Australia*

Abstract

Research Question: What are the challenges to implementing AI in organizations and how can they be overcome?

Motivation: The rapid growth of artificial intelligence (AI) presents both opportunities and challenges for organizations. While AI can enhance efficiency, accuracy, and strategic decision-making, implementation is often constrained by workforce readiness, ethical concerns, and system integration issues. Despite increasing interest, limited research explores how organizations navigate these complexities in practice.

Idea: This paper investigates the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into auditing, focusing on the challenges, strategies, and outcomes of deployment in the Australian context. Using a qualitative case study approach, it demonstrates how tools such as machine learning, natural language processing, and robotic process automation can enhance audit efficiency, accuracy, and risk management.

Data: A semi-structured interview format was adopted to collect responses from industry professionals working with AI. The open structure enabled additional exploration of individual circumstances, ensuring that unanticipated but important topics could be investigated

Findings: The study highlights the need for robust data governance, ethical alignment, and the redesign of audit workflows. While AI enhances automation, auditors remain critical for nuanced judgment, interpretation, and stakeholder trust. Building internal expertise through structured upskilling, certification, and collaborative learning is essential, alongside the use of bias detection tools, fairness-aware models, and transparent governance structures. These measures are central to responsible AI adoption and the preservation of audit integrity.

Contributions: This study offers a practical roadmap for AI adoption in auditing, addressing system integration, workforce upskilling, and bias mitigation through transparent and ethical model design. Academically, it extends theories of technology adoption in professional services by highlighting the interaction of technical, cultural, and ethical dimensions. It also

---

[1] *Corresponding author:* School of Business and Law, Central Queensland University, 160 Ann Street Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, 4001, email: k.h.singh@cqu.edu.au
Funding: there is no funding for this research.

Article History: Received 30 April 2025; Accepted 3 September 2025.
Accepted by: Sînziana-Maria Rîndașu.

identifies directions for future research, particularly concerning transparency, explainability, and the convergence of AI with other emerging technologies.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, auditing, audit quality, risk management, machine learning, integration

JEL codes: M40, M42, O30, O32, O33

1. Introduction

The auditing discipline is undergoing significant transformation driven by technological advancements, particularly the integration of artificial intelligence (AI). AI has the potential to revolutionize auditing processes by enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and risk management, thereby improving the overall quality and value of audits (Issa *et al.,* 2016). AI is reshaping organizational processes across sectors such as finance, healthcare, logistics, and education. These technologies streamline workflows, support decision-making, and provide predictive insights, marking a significant phase in digital transformation (Rashid & Kausik, 2024).

The auditing industry in Australia is a critical component of the country's financial ecosystem, ensuring the integrity and transparency of financial reporting. According to the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC), the auditing industry plays a pivotal role in maintaining investor confidence and market stability (ASIC, 2020). However, the industry faces several challenges, including increasing regulatory requirements, the need for higher audit quality, and the pressure to deliver more value to clients (KPMG, 2021).

The traditional audit process, characterized by manual sampling and time-consuming analyses, faces limitations in the era of big data and rapid technological advancement (Singh & Best, 2015). AI technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and robotic process automation, present opportunities to revolutionize audit methodologies (Appelbaum *et al.,* 2017). These technologies can analyze vast amounts of data, identify patterns and anomalies, and provide real-time insights, potentially transforming the role of auditors from data processors to strategic advisors (Kokina & Davenport, 2017).

Several large accounting firms have already begun incorporating AI into their audit practices. For example, PwC has developed an AI-powered tool called "GL.ai" that can analyze entire sets of journal entries, significantly reducing the time required for manual review (PwC, 2019). Similarly, Deloitte has introduced "Argus", an AI-based document reader that can extract and analyze key information from complex documents (Deloitte, 2020). However, concerns around algorithmic transparency and ethical deployment have become more pronounced. Parker *et al.* (2022). notes that explainability in AI systems is not only a technical necessity but also a regulatory imperative in accounting and financial auditing. Ethical frameworks, such as those proposed by Jobin *et al.* (2019), stress the importance of stakeholder trust, algorithmic auditability, and bias mitigation strategies.

There are several potential benefits of AI in auditing. AI can enhance audit quality by analyzing 100% of transactions rather than relying on sampling techniques, thereby increasing the likelihood of detecting fraud or errors (Dickey *et al.,* 2019). Furthermore, AI can improve audit efficiency by automating routine tasks, allowing auditors to focus on higher-value activities that require professional judgment (Issa *et al.,* 2016). The technology also offers the potential for continuous auditing, enabling real-time monitoring and risk assessment (Appelbaum *et al.*, 2017).

Integration with AI requires significant investment in technology infrastructure and skills development, which may pose barriers for smaller organizations or audit firms (Dickey *et al.,* 2019). From a human capital perspective, transformation of the workforce through AI-related reskilling has gained academic and industry attention. Saad (2024) and Apostolou *et al.* (2022) argue that tailored training programs and modular learning pathways can build organizational AI fluency and reduce resistance to technological change. These approaches align with efforts to integrate AI to complement professional expertise rather than as a replacement.

Regulatory considerations also play an important role in adopting AI in auditing. While regulatory bodies recognize the potential of AI to enhance audit quality, they also emphasize the need for appropriate governance frameworks and human oversight (IAASB, 2022). The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) has initiated projects to address the implications of technology on auditing standards and provide guidance on the use of automated tools and techniques in audits (IAASB, 2022). Additionally, ensuring compliance with data protection regulations, such as the Privacy Act 1988 in Australia, is key to maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of client data (OAIC, 2020).

The remainder of the paper is arranged as follows: section 2 presents a review of the literature, section 3 describes the research methodology adopted, section 4 presents the findings of the study and section 5 offers a discussion of the findings. Key contributions are discussed in section 6 and concluding remarks, limitations and future research directions, are detailed in section 7.

2. Review of Related Literature

AI has emerged as a transformative technology in many disciplines, including auditing (Kokina & Davenport, 2017; Issa *et al.,* 2016). The integration of AI in auditing processes offers numerous benefits, such as enhanced efficiency, accuracy, and risk management. AI encompasses a broad range of technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, robotic process automation, and data analytics. These technologies can automate routine tasks, analyze large volumes of data, and provide insightful predictions and recommendations (Davenport & Kirby, 2016). In the context of auditing, AI may significantly improve the effectiveness and efficiency of audit procedures by reducing human error, identifying anomalies, and uncovering hidden patterns in data (Appelbaum *et al.*, 2017). However, research has emphasized that successful AI implementation requires more than technical infrastructure; it demands strategic alignment and cultural preparedness. Holmström (2022) present strategies for evaluating AI readiness, outlining key dimensions such as leadership support, data maturity, and cross-functional integration. Their findings suggest that pre-implementation assessments are key in minimizing risks and ensuring technology aligns with business goals.

Machine learning allows auditors to analyze large datasets efficiently, enhancing early detection of risks, anomalies, and potential fraud compared with traditional sampling-based methods. For example, supervised learning algorithms can be trained on historical data to predict future trends and detect deviations from expected patterns (Jans *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, unsupervised learning algorithms can cluster data and identify outliers, helping auditors to focus on high-risk areas and allocate resources more effectively (Gepp *et al.,* 2018). The use of these advanced analytical techniques represents a significant advancement in auditing, providing deeper insights and improving the overall effectiveness of audit procedures.

Natural Language Processing (NLP) enables computers to understand, interpret, and generate human language, facilitating automated review and analysis of textual data. In auditing, NLP can be used to extract relevant information from financial statements, contracts, and other documents, reducing the time and effort required for manual review. Furthermore, NLP can help auditors identify inconsistencies, ambiguities, and potential risks in textual data, enhancing the thoroughness and accuracy of the audit process (Kokina & Davenport, 2017; Yoon *et al.,* 2015).

Robotic Process Automation (RPA) streamlines the execution of repetitive, rule-governed processes, thereby enabling auditors to allocate greater attention to complex, analytical, and judgment-driven activities. RPA can be applied to various audit tasks, such as data entry, reconciliation, and report generation, improving efficiency and reducing errors. Additionally, RPA can be integrated with other AI technologies, such as machine learning and NLP, to create intelligent automation solutions that enhance the overall effectiveness of the audit process (Moffitt *et al.*, 2018).

Data analytics is a key application of AI in auditing. Advanced data analytics techniques enable auditors to analyze large and complex datasets, uncovering valuable insights and identifying potential risks (Warren Jr *et al.,* 2015). By leveraging AI-powered data analytics, auditors can perform continuous monitoring and real-time analysis of financial data, enabling them to detect and address issues more quickly and proactively. Furthermore, data analytics can help auditors better understand their clients' businesses and provide more tailored and valuable insights, enhancing the audit's value (Brown-Liburd *et al*., 2015; Appelbaum *et al*., 2017).

The cultural dimension as explored by Kokina and Davenport (2017), argue that audit and assurance professionals often resist AI integration due to concerns about expertise displacement. They advocate for cultural transformation supported by targeted education and transparent communication, which supports the acceptance of AI tools. This aligns with Parker *et al.* (2022) who highlight the importance of explainable AI (XAI) in regulated industries. As regulators increasingly demand interpretability in algorithmic decisions, firms are turning to XAI frameworks to maintain auditability and compliance.

AI's capabilities in fraud detection have also drawn considerable attention. Yoon *et al.* (2015) emphasize the effectiveness of real-time anomaly detection systems, particularly in dynamic financial environments. While these systems outperform traditional methods in accuracy and speed, their ongoing performance depends on continuous learning and recalibration. Similarly, Sonntag *et al.* (2024) document that multinational corporations with high executive involvement and enterprise-wide collaboration experience more sustainable AI adoption outcomes.

Research has also explored emerging challenges and innovations in auditing and workforce development. Eulerich and Wood (2025) examined the impact of generative AI on audit quality, noting both gains in efficiency and concerns about fabricated outputs. To mitigate risks, the authors propose rigorous human oversight and audit trail validation processes. Saad (2024) reported that firms with modular AI literacy pathways see greater employee engagement and digital competency. Firms are increasingly investing in training solutions aligned to specific job functions, improving AI fluency across roles.

Jobin *et al.* (2019) introduced a stakeholder-centric ethical alignment framework, which integrates algorithmic transparency, bias mitigation, and value-driven deployment. Their work highlights that embedding ethical principles into AI systems can improve trust and ensure sustainable adoption across business functions. Collectively, these studies confirm that the future of AI in organizational settings lies at the intersection of strategic readiness, transparent governance, workforce empowerment, and ethical stewardship.

There is a growing body of literature exploring the applications and implications of AI in auditing, however, the implementation of AI in auditing, while promising significant benefits, is not without challenges, with the literature pointing to (1) data-related challenges, (2) skills and resources constraints, (3) integration and change management difficulties, and (4) ethical considerations and bias.

One of the most significant challenges in implementing AI in auditing is managing data quality and governance. AI models depend heavily on the accuracy and integrity of their training data. Poor data quality can lead to erroneous predictions and biased results (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). Moreover, ensuring data privacy and adhering to regulations, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Australia's Privacy Act 1988, remains a critical concern (European Parliament and Council of the European Union, 2016; Office of the Australian Information Commissioner, 2023). A noteworthy hurdle for auditing firms is the limited pool of professionals who possess advanced skills in data science, machine learning, and AI. Implementing and maintaining these technologies requires specialized expertise, which can be both hard to find and expensive to recruit. Additionally, the initial capital investment for high-powered AI tools can be prohibitive for smaller firms (ACCA, 2023; Munoko *et al*., 2020). Merging AI with legacy auditing systems often proves complex and time-intensive, risking disruptions to established processes. Furthermore, effective implementation of AI tools calls for a cultural shift within organizations. Comprehensive training and clear communication strategies are essential to overcome employee resistance and foster a smooth transition to AI-driven workflows (Deloitte, 2023).

Key considerations in applying AI to auditing include transparency, accountability, and fairness. Auditing firms must safeguard against biased or discriminatory outcomes while ensuring explainability, that is, the ability to clarify how AI models generate their results so stakeholders can place trust in and verify automated processes (Langhof & Brandau, 2023; KPMG, 2024).

2.1 Strategies for AI Implementation

Firms must establish robust data governance frameworks to ensure data quality, integrity, and regulatory compliance. This includes data cleansing, standardization, and anonymization to safeguard sensitive information (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). Equally important is investment in advanced data management tools that support efficient collection, storage, and analysis (Rozario & Issa, 2020).

Addressing the skills gap requires targeted workforce upskilling through training programs, certifications, and continuous learning initiatives. Collaboration with educational institutions and industry experts can further enhance AI competencies. At the same time, firms must attract and retain top AI talent through competitive compensation and career development opportunities (Appelbaum *et al.,* 2017).

Effective integration of AI with existing systems necessitates a phased approach that allows for gradual adoption and testing. Firms should assess current systems and processes to identify priority areas for integration. Change management strategies such as stakeholder engagement, communication, and training are critical for managing cultural shifts and ensuring smooth adoption (Brown-Liburd & Vasarhelyi, 2019).

Embedding AI literacy across organizational workflows fosters resilience in AI-driven audit environments (Guo *et al.,* 2021). This includes partnerships with universities, mandatory AI ethics training, and certification-based development for audit teams. Cultivating internal expertise is also vital, requiring the establishment of AI centres of excellence, cross-functional training programs, and a culture of continuous learning (Zhong & Goel, 2024).

Ethical considerations and bias must be proactively addressed. Firms should adopt responsible AI frameworks built on principles of fairness, accountability, and transparency. Regular reviews and audits of AI models are necessary to detect and mitigate bias, while explainable AI models can improve interpretability of AI decisions (Baker & Xiang, 2023). Addressing these challenges requires multi-stakeholder governance, inclusive data design, and transparent model documentation (Zhong & Goel, 2024).

Bias may arise from imbalanced datasets, skewed feature selection, or opaque algorithms, posing ethical and operational risks. To address this, organizations must deploy fairness-aware algorithms, perform regular model audits, and use bias detection frameworks to ensure equitable outcomes (Jobin *et al.,* 2019). Recent advancements, such as adversarial debiasing, fairness-aware modelling, and algorithmic impact assessments, provide systematic approaches to detecting and reducing bias in audit-related algorithms. Continuous bias monitoring and the use of explainable AI further strengthen transparency and auditability (Chen & Goel, 2024).

Finally, AI implementation should be regarded as an ongoing process rather than a one-off project. Firms must continuously monitor and evaluate AI model performance, incorporating feedback from users and stakeholders to identify improvements. Ongoing investment in research and development is also essential for staying at the forefront of innovation and maintaining a competitive edge (van der Aalst *et al.,* 2018).

2.2 Improvement in the Audit Process

Successful implementation of AI in auditing depends on the ability to be effective and make a positive impact on the audit process. One of the primary Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) (i.e. measurable values used to evaluate the success of an organization or employee in meeting objectives) for measuring the success of AI in auditing is the reduction in manual effort. By automating repetitive and rule-based activities, AI enables auditors to allocate their efforts toward more complex, analytical, and value-adding functions. The time saved by automating tasks such as data entry, reconciliation, and report generation can be quantified and compared to the time required for manual processes (Brown-Liburd & Vasarhelyi, 2019). This KPI helps assess the efficiency gains achieved through AI implementation.

Another important KPI is the improvement in audit quality. AI can enhance the accuracy and reliability of audit findings by reducing human error and providing more insightful data analysis. The quality of audit reports, the identification of more risks and anomalies, and the overall comprehensiveness of an audit can be evaluated to measure the impact of AI on audit quality (Rozario & Issa, 2020). Feedback from clients and stakeholders can also provide valuable insights into the perceived improvement in audit quality.

AI's ability to analyze large volumes of data and identify patterns, anomalies, and risks that may not be apparent through manual analysis is a significant advantage. The number of insights and risks identified by AI models, as well as the accuracy and relevance of these findings, can be used as KPIs to measure the effectiveness of AI in enhancing risk assessment and management (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). Comparing the insights generated by AI with those identified through traditional methods can help quantify the added value of AI in the audit process.

The time and cost savings achieved through AI implementation are essential KPIs for evaluating its success. AI can streamline audit processes, reduce the time required for data collection and analysis, and lower operational costs. The time saved can be measured in terms of the reduction in audit cycle time, while cost savings can be quantified by comparing the costs of AI-driven audits with those of traditional audits (Appelbaum *et al.,* 2017). These KPIs help assess the financial benefits and return on investment (ROI) of AI in auditing.

The accuracy of AI predictions and recommendations is an important KPI for measuring the effectiveness of AI models. The precision, recall, and F1 score of AI models can be used to evaluate their performance in identifying risks, anomalies, and fraud (Baker & Xiang, 2023). The F1 score is a widely used metric in machine learning for evaluating the performance of classification models, especially when dealing with imbalanced datasets. It represents the harmonic mean of precision and recall, maintaining a balance between these two important metrics. Regular testing and validation of AI models against historical data and known outcomes can help ensure their accuracy and reliability. Additionally, comparing AI predictions with actual audit findings can provide insights into the model's effectiveness and areas for improvement.

The integration of AI in auditing processes has the potential to significantly transform the role of human auditors. While AI can automate routine tasks and provide valuable insights, human auditors remain crucial for ensuring the quality, integrity, and effectiveness of the audit process. The purpose of this study is therefore, to understand the impact of implementing AI in auditing processes to determine its effect on enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and risk management in organizations.

2.3 Gap in the Literature

While systematic reviews and conceptual studies have advanced understanding of AI's transformative potential in auditing (Guo *et al*., 2021; Rashid & Kausik, 2024), there remains a lack of empirical research capturing the perspectives of practitioners who are directly engaged in AI implementation. Much of the literature has emphasized technological capabilities and theoretical models, but has paid less attention to how auditors, IT specialists, and compliance officers perceive AI integration within organizational contexts.

This study addresses this gap by drawing on interviews with professionals actively involved in AI adoption across auditing functions. By clustering themes around implementation challenges, ethical and regulatory considerations, workforce development, and evaluation strategies, the study provides a nuanced account of both opportunities and barriers.

3. Methodology

The integration of AI in auditing offers enhanced efficiency, accuracy, and value. This study provides a detailed analysis of AI implementation in auditing, focusing on the following research objectives:

a) *Identify Challenges and Strategies*: explore key challenges in AI implementation, such as data quality, governance, and talent acquisition, along with strategies to overcome these obstacles.
b) *Explore AI's Potential Impact on Audit Quality*: evaluate how AI technologies may enhance audit efficiency, accuracy, and risk management through task automation and data analysis.
c) *Examine AI's Effect on Human Auditors*: determine the changing role of auditors in AI-enhanced environments, focusing on required skills and upskilling strategies.
d) *Evaluate Success Metrics*: Evaluate long-term goals and success metrics of AI integration, including reduced manual effort, improved audit quality, and cost savings.

3.1 Participant Selection

We engaged the services of a partner of a large audit firm to assist in identifying and connecting the research team with participants. Purposive sampling was employed to select participants who are industry professionals with relevant experience and expertise in auditing and AI implementation. The target population included audit and IT professionals (Table 1).

Table 1. Study participants

#	Job Role	Organization	Responsibilities
1	Audit Manager	Health Service	Planning and executing audits, reviewing audit findings, and communicating results to stakeholders.
2	IT Director	Telecommunications Services	Strategic planning for IT, managing IT projects, and ensuring the security and integrity of IT systems.
3	Senior Auditor	Accounting Firm	Performing detailed audit procedures, reviewing audit documentation, and providing guidance to junior auditors.
4	Data Analyst	Consulting Firm	Collecting, processing, and interpreting data, developing data models, and presenting findings to stakeholders.
5	Chief Financial Officer (CFO)	Training Company	Strategic financial planning, budgeting, and ensuring compliance with financial regulations.
6	Risk Manager	Financial Institution	Developing risk management strategies, monitoring risk exposure, and ensuring compliance with risk management policies.
7	Compliance Officer	Financial Institution	Monitoring compliance, conducting internal audits, and providing guidance on compliance issues
8	Audit Partner	Large Accounting Firm	Developing audit strategies, reviewing audit reports, and ensuring the audit function aligns with the organization's goals.
9	IT Consultant	Consulting Firm	Conducting IT assessments, recommending IT solutions, and implementing IT projects.
10	Audit Director	Large Retail Group	Developing audit plans, overseeing audit teams, and reporting audit findings to senior management and stakeholders.

Professionals currently working in an Australian company with ongoing or planned AI implementation in auditing processes were targeted. Participants were required to have involvement in auditing and to demonstrate awareness of their organization's planned or ongoing AI integration strategies, including potential the challenges associated with their implementation.

Initial contact was made through professional networks and introductions through personal contacts. Potential participants were sent an email invitation outlining the research objectives, interview process, and ethics information. Follow-up emails were made to confirm participation and schedule interviews. Ten participants were selected for in-depth interviews with the additional details included in Table 1. Each interview was scheduled for 60 minutes, with an additional 15 minutes available for extended discussion if required by the participant.

3.2 Interview Design

Semi-structured interviews were employed to gather rich, in-depth information from participants, aligning with the flexibility and depth characteristic of qualitative research (Galletta, 2013). This approach facilitated consistency through a predetermined set of questions while allowing the researcher to probe for additional details or clarifications when needed, thus capturing detailed experiences and insights from industry professionals (Patton, 2002).

A qualitative interview guide consisting of ten open-ended questions was developed after a comprehensive review of existing literature on auditing and AI, along with feedback from field experts. These questions were deliberately designed to delve into the challenges, strategies, outcomes, and long-term goals associated with AI implementation in auditing. The interview protocol can be found in Appendix A.

3.3 Interview Procedure

All participants received an information sheet outlining the research objectives, interview process, and ethical considerations, including confidentiality agreement and their right to withdraw. Participants provided informed consent, in line with ethical guidelines for qualitative research (Creswell & Poth, 2013). Each interview was scheduled at a time and place convenient for the participant and took place via Zoom.

At the start of each interview, the researcher introduced the study's purpose and explained how the conversation would progress. This initial explanation helped establish rapport and encouraged participants to speak candidly about their experiences with AI in auditing. The semi-structured interview format provided an opportunity to explore emergent themes through probing or follow-up questions (Galletta, 2013). Interviews were recorded using Zoom's recording feature, with explicit permission from each participant. Following each interview, the researcher thanked participants for their time and contributions. Participants were then given the opportunity to ask any remaining questions about the study or add further comments they believed were relevant.

The recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim the transcription features available in Zoom. To preserve confidentiality, each transcript was anonymized by assigning a unique identifier to each participant. This process ensured accurate data capture while protecting participants identities (Saldana, 2016). All recordings, transcripts, and consent forms were stored in password-protected files within encrypted cloud storage. Access to these materials was limited to the research team, who adhered strictly to institutional ethical guidelines and data management protocols. By implementing these measures, the study met established standards for confidentiality, data integrity, and participant protection (Patton, 2002).

3.4 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis, a recognized qualitative research method, was used to systematically identify, analyse, and interpret patterns of meaning within textual data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). According to Braun and Clarke, thematic analysis is suitable for exploratory research because it offers flexibility in coding and theme development, thus allowing researchers to capture insights from participants' perspectives (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Nowell *et al.,* 2017).

Familiarization with the data involved detailed analysis of the interview transcripts, enabling the researcher to understand the content and note initial impressions. Next, codes were generated to systematically label important segments of the transcripts in a manner that aligned with the research questions. This coding process enabled patterns to emerge from the data rather than being forced into pre-existing frameworks (Clarke & Braun, 2013).

The next stage was searching for themes which involved grouping related codes together to form clusters that captured broader patterns across the dataset. The identified themes were reviewed to ensure coherence, distinctiveness, and accurate representation of the data, after which they were defined and named (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The final phase, producing the report, integrated themes into a cohesive narrative supported by quotes from participants.

Microsoft Excel was used as the tool for organizing, coding, and analysing interview data in a structured manner. By using MS Excel, the researcher could store all transcript extracts, attach relevant codes, and sort data based on emerging themes. This systematic organization facilitated constant comparison across transcripts and helped to maintain an audit trail of coding decisions, enhancing the transparency and reliability of the qualitative analysis.

4. Findings, Opportunities and Challenges in Auditing

The integration of AI into auditing practices presents both opportunities and challenges. Analysis of the interviews revealed themes that can be clustered into five overarching dimensions: implementation challenges, benefits and technological applications, ethical and regulatory considerations, workforce development, and evaluation and strategic goals.

Implementation Challenges
Participants identified several barriers to effective AI adoption. Data-related concerns; particularly regarding privacy, quality, and governance, were consistently highlighted, alongside shortages of skilled personnel and financial resources. Technical integration also emerged as a significant challenge, especially in organizations reliant on outdated enterprise resource planning systems. These findings reflect existing scholarship noting organizational inertia, lack of strategic clarity, and infrastructural constraints as barriers to AI adoption (Arora, 2025).

Benefits and Technological Applications
Despite these barriers, respondents reported clear benefits from AI integration, including enhanced efficiency, accuracy, and coverage in audit processes. AI technologies such as machine learning and natural language processing (NLP) were viewed as instrumental in improving anomaly detection and document review, while tools such as IBM Watson, MindBridge Ai, Alteryx, predictive analytics, and robotic process automation (RPA) were identified as particularly transformative. These results support prior research demonstrating AI's role in advancing audit scope, sampling, fraud detection, and transaction analysis (Sonntag *et al.,* 2024; Leocadio *et al.,* 2024)

Ethical, Regulatory, and Security Considerations
Concerns related to ethical use, algorithmic bias, and the explainability of AI systems were frequently raised. Participants emphasized the need for transparent models and governance mechanisms, including the creation of AI ethics committees, to safeguard accountability and trust. Regulatory uncertainty was also evident, with some respondents expressing concern over the absence of uniform standards and others stressing the importance of robust data protection frameworks. Data security was considered particularly critical in cloud-based environments, with encryption, access controls, and audit trails cited as essential measures. These perspectives align with the broader literature on AI ethics, transparency, and the balance between innovation and compliance (Leocadio *et al.,* 2024; Adadi & Berrada, 2018).

Workforce Development and Evolving Roles
Workforce readiness was identified as central to successful AI adoption. Participants noted the value of targeted training initiatives, modular learning platforms, and

partnerships with educational institutions to build AI competencies. These findings are consistent with Saad (2024) and Apostolou *et al.* (2022), who advocate scalable, role-specific AI education pathways for professional services. Importantly, interviewees emphasized that AI should complement rather than replace human auditors. Professional skepticism, contextual judgment, and client interaction were consistently described as irreplaceable aspects of the audit role. This perspective supports Morley *et al*. (2021), who highlighted the synergistic potential of human-AI collaboration.

Evaluation and Strategic Goals
Finally, participants discussed the importance of evaluating AI's success and defining long-term objectives. Firms reported the use of key performance indicators such as audit cycle time, error reduction, predictive accuracy, and client satisfaction. However, the lack of standardized benchmarks was seen as a limitation. The findings reinforce calls in the literature for multi-dimensional evaluation frameworks that incorporate both technical and business indicators (Rashid & Kausik, 2024). Strategic goals centered on improving audit quality, enhancing decision-making, and reallocating auditor time to judgment-intensive tasks. Respondents also expressed aspirations for long-term integration, including the creation of seamless and intelligent auditing ecosystems designed to strengthen efficiency, risk management, and client value (Richins et al., 2017).

Overall, the findings (see Table 2) demonstrate that AI offers significant transformative potential in auditing, particularly through improvements in efficiency, accuracy, and analytical depth. However, adoption is constrained by challenges in data governance, workforce skills, system integration, and ethical oversight. The continuing role of human auditors is considered essential for safeguarding professional judgment, contextual interpretation, and stakeholder trust. The study underscores that successful AI integration requires a balanced approach that leverages technological strengths while embedding robust governance, continuous learning, and alignment with organizational strategy.

Table 2. Frequency of Themes

Theme	Frequency	Findings
Efficiency and accuracy	80%	Automating routine tasks and improving data analysis capabilities to enhance audit efficiency and accuracy.
Ethical considerations and bias	80%	The need for transparent and understandable AI models, robust governance and oversight mechanisms, and regular training and education on AI ethics.
Data security and integrity	80%	Implementing data encryption and access controls, conducting regular security audits,

Theme	Frequency	Findings
		and employing data anonymization techniques
Data-related concerns	70%	Elements include data privacy, quality, and governance. Ensure compliance with data protection regulations and maintain well-structured data.
Insight and risk management	70%	Identifying anomalies and outliers in data and providing real-time insights to enhance risk management.
Regulatory and compliance issues	70%	Data protection, auditability and standards, and cybersecurity measures to ensure compliance.
Role of human auditors	70%	Focus on complex judgment, reviewing AI outputs, interpreting insights, and maintaining client interactions.
Skills and resources	60%	Skilled AI talent is scarce. Significant initial investment required for AI infrastructure.
Integration and change management	60%	Challenges in integrating AI with existing systems and managing cultural shift required for AI adoption.
Client value	60%	Providing more insightful and tailored recommendations to clients, enhancing the overall value of the audit process.
Long-term goals for AI integration	60%	Achieve an integrated AI system, enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and risk management, providing better client value, and establishing leadership and innovation in AI-driven auditing
Training strategies	50%	Targeted training programs, a culture of continuous learning, and providing on-the-job training and mentoring opportunities.
Measuring success	50%	Tracking key performance indicators, assessing time and cost savings, and conducting regular feedback and reviews.

5. Discussion

This study shows that while AI offers transformative potential for auditing, its adoption is constrained by data, resources, and cultural limitations. In line with prior research (Issa & Kogan, 2021; Kokina & Davenport, 2017), participants emphasized data governance issues; encompassing privacy, quality, and protection, as one of the most significant concerns. Ensuring the integrity and reliability of data emerged as a critical precursor to successful AI adoption, reinforcing the importance of robust data management strategies and infrastructure. Effective governance also extends to addressing data ownership, classification, and retention policies that align with both organizational objectives and regulatory mandates.

Beyond data challenges, the results indicate the resource-intensive nature of AI implementation, particularly with regard to talent acquisition and the financial investments required to develop and sustain these systems. Such findings align with existing literature that highlights the urgent need for specialized analytical skills in professional services, especially when introducing advanced automation and machine learning models into auditing workflows (Appelbaum *et al.,* 2017). As participants indicated, continuous training and upskilling of existing personnel become pivotal for maintaining organizational agility and ensuring that emerging AI tools are both utilized effectively and critically evaluated for accuracy.

In addition to technical and resource demands, the study highlights the organizational and cultural shifts needed to integrate AI seamlessly. This insight suggests that successful introduction of AI tools is not simply about technological considerations but also depends on leadership, stakeholder engagement, and a culture receptive to innovation (Kotter, 2012). Such cultural shifts often involve clarifying accountability for AI-driven decisions, defining new roles and responsibilities, and fostering an environment that accommodates iterative learning and continuous improvement.

Another important aspect revealed by participants is the ethical and regulatory context surrounding AI. Transparency, explainability, and the need to mitigate biases in AI-driven models remain important points, aligning with calls for responsible AI governance (Jobin *et al.,* 2019). These considerations emphasize the value of formal oversight structures, such as ethics committees, and highlight the importance of rigorous review processes. Consequently, the success of AI depends not only on technical sophistication but also on public trust and adherence to professional standards, particularly regarding data privacy and compliance with evolving regulations.

Equally important is the strategic role attributed to specific AI technologies like NLP, ML, and RPA in advancing auditing practices. While these tools promise to improve efficiency, broaden risk assessments, and offer richer client insights, participants frequently stressed the importance of establishing clear performance metrics to demonstrate tangible gains. This emphasis on measurement correlates with prior research advocating the use of quantifiable key performance indicators (KPIs) to gauge AI’s impact on efficiency, cost savings, and the early detection of potential anomalies (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). Regular feedback, whereby stakeholders evaluate AI’s performance and recommend modifications, further strengthen the alignment of AI initiatives with organizational strategies.

Another key insight relates to the continued relevance of human auditors. As the data indicate, auditing derives its effectiveness from the combination of precision and human judgment, particularly in contexts where decision-making requires nuanced interpretation, consideration of situational factors, and the application of professional skepticism (Issa *et al.,* 2016). In this respect, AI is seen as enhancing, rather than replacing, the role of auditors, freeing them from repetitive tasks and enabling them to concentrate on strategic, high-level decision-making. Maintaining a balance between machine-driven efficiencies and human expertise may maximize the value derived from AI.

Finally, participants mentioned the following objectives i.e., improved audit quality, more accurate risk management, and a culture of innovation, as precursors to support AI adoption in auditing. The belief that AI integration is not a one-off technological upgrade, but instead a journey of continuous refinement, aligns with contemporary literature emphasizing iterative implementation and ongoing assessment (Appelbaum *et al.,* 2017). Achieving these goals rests on the convergence of several factors i.e., robust data infrastructures, systematic training, ethical attentiveness, and a willingness to adapt or replace legacy processes. By combining AI's analytical capabilities with professional judgment and ethical frameworks, organizations can position themselves to leverage AI for more efficient, insightful, and future-facing audit practices.

6. Contributions

This study offers the following contributions, to both the academic literature on auditing and AI as well as to the practice of auditing. The research highlights key challenges, strategies, and outcomes that are relevant to both academics and practitioners.

Academic Contributions
First, this article emphasizes the interaction among data governance, skill development, cultural readiness, and ethical considerations. As a result, it broadens existing understanding of how new technologies gain momentum in auditing firms. Second, it provides evidence on how human auditors and AI systems can function together. While existing studies note AI's capacity for automating and refining routine tasks, they have not addressed how these changes impact the auditor's role. By identifying specific types of judgment that demand human oversight, such as interpreting findings and applying professional skepticism, this study clarifies the conditions under which humans and algorithms may effectively coexist. Such insights build on, and refine existing discussions of "human -machine collaboration" and provide opportunities for future research on organizational structures that adopt AI integration. Third, the article critically analyzes key barriers to AI implementation, including data privacy concerns, integration complexities, and

algorithmic bias, and advances corresponding strategies to effectively mitigate each challenge. The focus on real-world cases contributes practice-based insights that may inform future studies.

Contributions to the Practice of Auditing
This study offers practical insights to guide auditors, audit firms, and stakeholders in successfully integrating AI within auditing workflows. First, it highlights the need for strong data governance and security measures, ensuring that AI-driven analytics are accurate, compliant, and use high-quality data. Second, the findings emphasize that skill development and resource planning are key for efficient AI use. Traditional auditing capabilities alone may not suffice in an AI-augmented environment; thus, professionals benefit from focused training in data analytics, machine learning, and model interpretation. Similarly, leadership must plan for investments in technology as well as training of auditors to handle AI-generated insights effectively. Finally, ethics and governance emerge as a basis of effective AI use. The study's findings may motivate audit teams to establish formal oversight structures that track AI performance, document decision processes, and enforce accountability. Adopting these measures may improve client trust and maintain professional integrity in an era of rapidly evolving audit technologies.

7. Conclusion

This study has examined the potential of AI to redefine the audit landscape, while highlighting the organizational, technical, and ethical hurdles that need to be investigated for successful adoption. Findings suggest that AI-driven audits may yield improved insights, accuracy, and efficiency by automating routine tasks, detecting anomalies with greater precision, and offering deeper analytical capabilities. However, achieving these benefits is not a trivial undertaking. As participants emphasized, robust data governance protocols, data integrity, privacy, and security, are essential precursors to reliable AI outcomes. Equally important is upskilling of audit teams, so they can combine data science skill with traditional auditing knowledge. This convergence ensures that AI-generated insights are interpreted by means of professional scepticism, practical judgment, and real-world context.

The study highlights the importance of oversight structures to manage algorithmic bias and maintain ethical standards. AI's "black box" effect may obscure the rationale behind system outputs, creating significant trust and transparency issues. Addressing this challenge involves transparent model design, audit trails for AI-driven decisions, and mechanisms for consistent performance reviews. The role of human auditors within this process remains crucial. Auditors need to become agents of AI-enabled insights, using their expertise to reconcile competing data signals, interpret findings, and maintain core ethical principles. Together, these considerations offer a guiding framework for implementing AI in audit workflows and a vision of how human and machine intelligence may operate synergistically.

While this study provides valuable insights into the implementation of AI in organizational contexts, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the qualitative nature of the research and the reliance on semi-structured interviews limit the generalizability of the findings. Although purposive sampling ensured representation across multiple sectors, the sample size remains relatively small, and the insights are context-specific. Second, the data is drawn from organizations based primarily in one geographic region, which may influence the perspectives shared by participants, particularly in relation to regulatory environments, cultural attitudes toward AI, and organizational readiness. Future research could benefit from a cross-regional or international comparative approach to enhance the transferability of findings. Third, while thematic analysis provided depth and flexibility in exploring complex phenomena, the coding and interpretation processes are inherently subjective and there remains the potential for researcher bias in theme identification and prioritization. Finally, the rapid evolution of AI technologies and organisational policies presents a moving target for empirical research. Some findings may become less applicable over time as organizations adapt and regulatory landscapes evolve. Longitudinal studies would be valuable in capturing these dynamic developments and assessing the sustainability of AI adoption practices over time. Despite these limitations, the study offers foundational insights that can inform both academic inquiry and practical decision-making in the field of AI integration within organizations.

Future research in AI in auditing should begin by examining how organizations develop and refine AI-based practices over time, offering insights into the evolving interaction among culture, resources, and technology. Comparative analysis across firm sizes and industries may shed light on the unique obstacles facing smaller practices with fewer resources and reveal which AI tools excel in particular risk or data contexts.

References

Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018) "Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (XAI)", *IEEE Access*, vol. 6: 52138-52160. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2870052

Apostolou, B., Dorminey, J. W., Hassell, J. M., & Watson, S. F. (2022) "Accounting education literature review (2020-2021)", *Journal of Accounting Education*, vol. 59: 100782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2022.100782

Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017) "Big data and analytics in the modern audit engagement: Research needs", *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, vol. 36, no. 4: 1-27. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-51684

Arora, A. (2025) "Challenges of Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Legacy Systems and Potential Solutions for Seamless Integration", *Available at SSRN 5268176*.

Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA) (2023) "Artificial intelligence and the future of accountancy", Retrieved from https://www.accaglobal.com

Australian Securities & Investments Commission (ASIC) (2020) "Audit quality in Australia: A thematic review", Retrieved from https://asic.gov.au

Baker, S., & Xiang, W. (2023) "Explainable AI is responsible AI: How explainability creates trustworthy and socially responsible artificial intelligence", *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2312.01555.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006) "Using thematic analysis in psychology", *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 2: 77-101.

Brown-Liburd, H., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2019) "Big data and audit evidence", *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, vol. 16, no. 1: 59-76.

Brown-Liburd, H., Issa, H., & Lombardi, D. (2015) "Behavioral implications of Big Data's impact on audit judgment and decision making and future research directions", *Accounting Horizons*, vol. 29, no. 2: 451-468. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51023

Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2013) "Teaching thematic analysis", *Psychologist*, vol. 26, no. 2: 120-123.

Creswell, J. W. & Poth, C. N. (2013) *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.), SAGE Publications.

Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016) *Only humans need apply: Winners and losers in the age of smart machines*, Harper Business.

Deloitte. (2020) "Artificial intelligence: The next frontier in IT audit", Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/advisory/articles/ai-artificial-intelligence-audit.html

Deloitte. (2023) "AI-driven auditing: Insights and best practices", Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com

Dickey, G., Blanke, S., & Seaton, L. (2019) "Machine learning in auditing: Current and future applications", *The CPA Journal*, vol. 89, no. 6: 16-21.

Eulerich, M., & Wood, D. A. (2025) "A demonstration of how ChatGPT and generative AI can be used in the internal auditing process", *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting,* 1-31. https://doi.org/10.2308/JETA-2023-041

European Parliament and Council of the European Union. (2016) "Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016", *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Galletta, A. (2013) *Mastering the semi-structured interview and beyond: From research design to analysis and publication*. NYU Press.

Gepp, A., Linnenluecke, M. K., O'Neill, T. J., & Smith, T. (2018) "Big data in accounting and finance: A review of influential literature and a research agenda", *Journal of Accounting Literature*, vol. 40: 102-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acclit.2017.12.003

Guo, S., Cao, N., Yu, Z., Hao, J., & Zhao, H. (2021) "Artificial intelligence auditing: Past, present, and future", *Journal of Accounting Literature*, vol. 46: 100-129.

Holmström, J. (2022) "From AI to digital transformation: The AI readiness framework", *Business horizons*, vol. 65, no. 3: 329-339.

International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) (2022) "Disruptive technologies in auditing", Retrieved from https://www.iaasb.org/focus-areas/technology

Issa, H., Sun, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2016) "Research ideas for artificial intelligence in auditing: The formalization of audit and workforce supplementation", *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, vol. 13, no. 2: 1-20.

Jans, M., Alles, M. G., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2014) "A field study on the use of process mining of event logs as an analytical procedure in auditing", *The Accounting Review*, vol. 89, no. 5: 1751-1773. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50807

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019) "The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. Nature Machine Intelligence", vol. 1, no. 9: 389-399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017) "The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing", *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, vol. 14, no. 1: 115-122. https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-51730

Kotter, J. P. (2012) *Leading change*, Harvard Business Review Press.

KPMG (2021) "The future of audit: Embracing technology and innovation", Retrieved from https://kpmg.com/ch/en/insights/reporting/future-of-audit.html

KPMG (2024) "How AI is transforming auditing and financial reporting", Retrieved from https://kpmg.com/au/en/insights/artificial-intelligence-ai/ai-transforming-auditing-and-financial-reporting.html

Leocádio, D., Malheiro, L., & Reis, J. (2024) "Artificial intelligence in auditing: A conceptual framework for auditing practices", *Administrative Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 10: 238.

Moffitt, K. C., Rozario, A. M., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2018) "Robotic process automation for auditing", *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, vol. 15, no. 1: 1-10.

Morley, J., Floridi, L., Kinsey, L., & Elhalal, A. (2021) “From what to how: An initial review of publicly available AI ethics tools, methods and research to translate principles into practices”, *Science and Engineering Ethics*, vol. 27, no. 1: 15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-019-00165-5

Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2020) “The ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in auditing”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 167, no. 2, 209-234. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04407-1

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017) “Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria”, *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, vol. 16, no. 1: 1-13.

Office of the Australian Information Commissioner (OAIC) (2020) “Privacy Act 1988: Australian Government overview”, Retrieved from https://www.oaic.gov.au/privacy/the-privacy-act

Parker, C. A., Cho, S., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2022) “Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) in Auditing”, *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*.

Patton, M. Q. (2002) *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (3rd ed.), SAGE Publications.

PwC (2019) “Harnessing the power of AI to transform the detection of fraud and error”, Retrieved from https://www.pwc.co.uk/services/audit/insights/artificial-intelligence-in-audit.html

Rashid, A. B., & Kausik, M. A. K. (2024) “AI revolutionizing industries worldwide: A comprehensive overview of its diverse applications”, *Hybrid Advances*, vol. 7: 100277.

Richins, G., Stapleton, A., Stratopoulos, T. C., & Wong, C. (2017) “Big data analytics: Opportunity or threat for the accounting profession?”, *Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 31, no. 3: 63-79. https://doi.org/10.2308/isys-51805

Rozario, A., & Issa, H. (2020) “Risk-based data analytics in the government sector: A case study for a U.S. county”, *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 37, no. 2: 101457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101457

Saad, A. M. A. M. (2024) “Adapting accountants to the AI revolution: university strategies for skill enhancement, job security and competence in accounting”, *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*, vol. 15, no. 2: 290-305. https://doi.org/10.1108/HESWBL-10-2023-0295

Saldana, J. (2016) *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Singh, K., & Best, P. J. (2015) “Design and implementation of continuous monitoring and auditing in SAP enterprise resource planning”, *International Journal of Auditing*, vol. 19. no. 3: 307-317. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12051

Sonntag, M., Mehmann, S., Mehmann, J., & Teuteberg, F. (2024) “Development and evaluation of a maturity model for AI deployment capability of manufacturing companies”, *Information Systems Management*, vol. 42, no. 1: 37-67. https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2024.2319041

van der Aalst, W. M. P., Bichler, M., & Heinzl, A. (2018) “Robotic process automation”, *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, vol. 60, no. 3: 199-206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-018-0542-4

Warren, J. D., Moffitt, K. C., & Byrnes, P. (2015) “How big data will change accounting”, *Accounting Horizons*, vol. 29, no. 2: 397-407. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51069

Yoon, K., Hoogduin, L., & Zhang, L. (2015) “Big data as complementary audit evidence”, *Accounting Horizons*, vol. 29, no. 2: 431-438. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51076

Zhong, C., & Goel, S. (2024) “Transparent AI in Auditing through Explainable AI”, *Current Issues in Auditing*, vol. 18, no. 2: A1-A14. https://doi.org/10.2308/CIIA-2023-009

Appendix A: Interview Guide

1. What are the primary challenges you face when considering an implementation of AI in auditing?
2. How do you envision AI transforming the auditing process in your company?
3. What specific AI technologies or tools are you considering for auditing?
4. How are you addressing ethical considerations and bias in AI for auditing?
5. What is your strategy for upskilling your workforce to work effectively with AI?
6. How do you plan to measure the success and impact of AI in your auditing processes?
7. What regulatory and compliance issues are you considering with AI implementation in auditing?
8. How do you plan to ensure the security and integrity of data used in AI-driven auditing?
9. What role do you see for human auditors in an AI-enhanced auditing environment?
10. What are your long-term goals for AI integration in your auditing practices?
11. Do you wish to provide any additional information?